

Density of states of the interacting two-dimensional electron gas

E. Kogan^{1,2,*} and B. Rosenstein^{2,1,†}

¹*Jack and Pearl Resnick Institute, Physics Department, Bar Ilan University, Ramat Gan 52900, Israel*

²*National Center for Theoretical Sciences and Electrophysics Department,
National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu 30050, Taiwan*

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

We study the influence of electron-electron interactions on the density of states (DOS) of clean 2D electron gas. We confirm the linear cusp in the DOS around the Fermi level, which was obtained previously. The cusp crosses over to a pure logarithmic dependence further away from the Fermi surface.

PACS numbers:

It was established more than 20 years ago by Altshuler and Aronov [1] and Altshuler, Aronov, and Lee [2] that in low-dimensional diffusive systems the electron-electron interaction leads to suppression of the single-particle density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level. In two dimensions their theory predicted logarithmic cusp at the Fermi level due to the diffuson pole divergences in the vertex renormalization. The theory was later extended by Rudin, Aleiner and Glazman [3] to larger values of the energy measured from the Fermi surface.

Recently it was shown by Khveshchenko and Reizer [4] and by Mishchenko and Andreev [5] using the diagrammatic approach with the RPA dynamical susceptibility, that large electron-electron interaction induced correction to the DOS exists even in the absence of disorder. Both groups obtained a linear cusp at the Fermi level $\delta\nu(\epsilon)/\nu_0 \sim |\epsilon|/E_F$ (with the slope differing by a factor of 2), independent of the strength of the electron-electron interaction r_s . More recently Rollbuhler and Grabert [6] using a path integral technique (applied for relatively small couplings $r_s < 1$) found numerically that the slope does depend on the coupling and flattens away from the Fermi surface.

Due to apparent discrepancy between the two approaches we reconsider the problem in the framework of the diagrammatic method. Our aim is to spell explicitly and to clarify all the approximations which are made to obtain the transparent analytical formulas for the DOS correction. We also obtain the results which are partially different from those of Refs. 4, 5.

The Hamiltonian of the 2D electron gas is

$$H = \sum_{p\sigma} \varepsilon_p a_{p\sigma}^\dagger a_{p\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{pp'\sigma\sigma'q} a_{p+q\sigma}^\dagger a_{p'-q\sigma'}^\dagger V(q) a_{p'\sigma'} a_{p\sigma}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\varepsilon_p = \frac{p^2}{2m}; \quad V(q) = \frac{2\pi e^2}{q}. \quad (2)$$

The Green's function $G(p, E)$ is given by the equation

$$G^{-1}(p, E) = G_0^{-1}(p, E) - \Sigma(p, E), \quad (3)$$

where $G_0(p, E)$ is Green's function in the absence of electron-electron interaction

$$G_0^{-1}(p, E) = E - \frac{p^2}{2m}; \quad (4)$$

the self-energy $\Sigma(p, E)$ in this paper will be calculated in the Random Phase approximation (RPA) at $T = 0$:

$$\Sigma(p, E) = \int \frac{d^2q}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} G_0(p+q, E+\omega) \frac{V(q)}{\varepsilon(q, \omega)}. \quad (5)$$

The DOS is

$$\nu(E) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \text{Im} \int \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} G(p, E+i0). \quad (6)$$

Expanding Green's function with respect to the self-energy and reversing the order of integrations, one obtains the electron-electron interaction induced correction to the DOS [1]

$$\delta\nu(E) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \text{Im} \int \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} \delta G(p, E+i0) \equiv \text{Im} X(E+i0). \quad (7)$$

We will work in Matsubara formalism, calculate X for imaginary frequency

$$X(i\Omega) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int \frac{d^2q}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{V(q)}{\varepsilon(q, i\omega)} \int \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} G_0^2(p, i\Omega) G_0(p+q, i(\Omega+\omega)), \quad (8)$$

and at the end will make an analytical continuation.

Using the fact that $G_0^2(p, i\Omega) = i\partial G_0(p, i\Omega)/\partial\Omega$, we can write the integral over p of the three Green's functions as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} G_0^2(p, i\Omega) G_0(p+q, i(\Omega+\omega)) \\ &= -i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\Omega} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\omega} \right) \Pi(q, i\omega, i\Omega), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where the polarization function $\Pi(\Omega, q, \omega)$ is given by the equation

$$\Pi(q, i\omega, i\Omega) = \int \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} G_0(p, i\Omega) G_0(p+q, i(\Omega+\omega)). \quad (10)$$

The last equation in polar coordinates takes the form

$$\Pi(q, i\omega, i\Omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^\infty p dp \frac{1}{i\Omega + \mu - \frac{p^2}{2m}} \frac{1}{i(\Omega + \omega) + \mu - \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{pq \cos(\theta)}{m} - \frac{q^2}{2m}}. \quad (11)$$

Since the main contributions come from fermionic momenta close to Fermi momentum, one can make the first approximation by replacing p in the term $pq \cos(\theta)/m$ by $\sqrt{2m\mu} = mv_F$ and ignoring the term $q^2/2m$. After that the integration over p can be easily performed:

$$\Pi(q, i\omega, i\Omega) = \frac{m}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \frac{1}{i\omega - v_F q \cos(\theta)} L(\omega, \Omega, q), \quad (12)$$

where

$$L(\omega, \Omega, q) = \log \frac{(\Omega + \omega) - i\mu + iv_F q \cos(\theta)}{\Omega - i\mu}. \quad (13)$$

Explicitly, presenting the logarithm as

$$L(\omega, \Omega, q) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{(\Omega + \omega)^2 + (\mu - v_F q \cos(\theta))^2}{\Omega^2 + \mu^2} + i \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{\mu}{\Omega} - \tan^{-1} \frac{\mu - v_F q \cos(\theta)}{\Omega + \omega} \right], \quad (14)$$

and taking into account that $\Omega, \omega, v_F q \ll \mu$, we make the second approximation:

$$L = \pi i [\Theta(-\Omega)\Theta(\Omega + \omega) - \Theta(\Omega)\Theta(-\Omega - \omega)]. \quad (15)$$

After that, the integral over θ in Eq. (12) can be easily calculated, and the polarization function takes a form

$$\Pi(q, i\omega, i\Omega) = \frac{m}{2} \frac{\text{sign}(\omega)}{\sqrt{\omega^2 + v_F^2 q^2}} [\Theta(-\Omega)\Theta(\Omega + \omega) - \Theta(\Omega)\Theta(-\Omega - \omega)]. \quad (16)$$

Taking appropriate derivatives one obtains

$$\int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} G_0^2(p, i\Omega) G_0(p + q, i(\Omega + \omega)) = \frac{-m|\omega|i}{2[\omega^2 + v_F^2 q^2]^{3/2}} [\Theta(-\Omega)\Theta(\Omega + \omega) - \Theta(\Omega)\Theta(-\Omega - \omega)]. \quad (17)$$

The dielectric constant $\varepsilon(q, \omega)$ is related to the polarization operator

$$P(q, i\omega) = -2 \int \frac{d\Omega}{2\pi} \Pi(q, i\omega, i\Omega) \quad (18)$$

by the equation

$$\varepsilon(q, i\omega) = 1 - V(q)P(q, i\omega). \quad (19)$$

It is easier, however, not to use the approximate Eq. (16) for the polarization function, but to insert in Eq. (18)

exact Eq. (10) and (as it is traditionally done) integrate over Ω first, to obtain

$$P(q, i\omega) = 2 \sum_p \frac{n_p - n_{p+q}}{\varepsilon_{p+q} - \varepsilon_p - i\omega}, \quad (20)$$

where n_p is the Fermi distribution function. Obvious algebra gives

$$P(q, i\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \text{Re} \int_{p < p_F} p dp \int d\theta \frac{1}{\frac{pq \cos \theta}{m} + \frac{q^2}{2m} - i\omega} = \frac{2}{\pi} \text{Re} \int_{p < p_F} p dp \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{q^2}{2m} - i\omega\right)^2 - \frac{p^2 q^2}{m^2}}} \quad (21)$$

Integrating over p ,

$$P(q, i\omega) = \frac{2m^2}{\pi q^2} \text{Re} \left[\frac{q^2}{2m} - i\omega - \sqrt{\left(\frac{q^2}{2m} - i\omega\right)^2 - v_F^2 q^2} \right], \quad (22)$$

and expanding the radical in small q , one obtains

$$\varepsilon(q, i\omega) = 1 + \frac{2e^2 m}{q} \left[1 - \frac{|\omega|}{\sqrt{\omega^2 + v_F^2 q^2}} \right]. \quad (23)$$

Now we are ready to return to the calculation of the DOS. Substituting Eqs.(17) and (23) into Eq.(8), considering for definiteness $\Omega > 0$, and subtracting an "inessential" constant, one has

$$X(i\Omega) = \frac{e^2 m i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\Omega \omega d\omega \int_0^\infty \frac{q dq}{[\omega^2 + v_F^2 q^2]^{3/2}} \frac{1}{q + 2e^2 m \left[1 - \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\omega^2 + v_F^2 q^2}} \right]}. \quad (24)$$

Apart from the fact that we are considering imaginary energy, Eq. (24) coincides with those obtained in Refs. 4, 5. (Comparing with the Eq. (2) from the latter reference, we have an additional factor 1/2.) We notice, however, that the double integral can be calculated in a more rigorous way than it was done there. After we introduce the dimensionless variable $\bar{q} = v_F q / \omega$, and change the order of integrations, the integral takes a form

$$X(i\Omega) = \frac{e^2 m i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\bar{q} d\bar{q}}{[1 + \bar{q}^2]^{3/2}} \times \int_0^\Omega \frac{d\omega}{\frac{\bar{q}}{v_F} \omega + 2e^2 m \left[1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \bar{q}^2}} \right]}. \quad (25)$$

The integral over ω can be easily calculated and we obtain

$$X(i\Omega) = \frac{e^2 m i}{2\pi^2 v_F} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\bar{q}}{[1 + \bar{q}^2]^{3/2}}$$

$$\times \log \left[1 + \frac{q\Omega}{2me^2v_F \left[1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\bar{q}^2}} \right]} \right]. \quad (26)$$

To obtain the density of states we should substitute $\Omega \rightarrow -i\epsilon$, where $\epsilon = E - \mu$ is the energy measured from the Fermi surface, and take the imaginary part. Thus we obtain

$$\frac{\delta\nu(\epsilon)}{\nu_0} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi v_F} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\bar{q}}{[1 + \bar{q}^2]^{3/2}} \log f(\epsilon, \bar{q}), \quad (27)$$

where

$$f(\epsilon, \bar{q}) = 1 + \frac{x^2 \bar{q}^2}{\left[1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\bar{q}^2}} \right]^2}, \quad (28)$$

and

$$x = \frac{\epsilon}{2me^2v_F}, \quad (29)$$

and $\nu_0 = m/\pi$ is the DOS of the noninteracting 2DEG. For $x \gg 1$ we may put in Eq. (27)

$$f(x) = 2 \log x. \quad (30)$$

Hence for $|\epsilon| \gg (e^2/v_F)E_F$

$$\frac{\delta\nu(\epsilon)}{\nu_0} = \frac{e^2}{2\pi v_F} \log \frac{\epsilon}{2me^2v_F}. \quad (31)$$

For $x \ll 1$ the main contribution to integral in Eq. (27) comes from the vicinity of the lower limit, hence we can expand all the functions with respect to q , thus obtaining

$$\frac{\delta\nu(\epsilon)}{\nu_0} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi v_F} \int_0^\infty d\bar{q} \log \left[1 + \frac{4x^2}{\bar{q}^2} \right]. \quad (32)$$

The integral can be easily calculated and we obtain for $|\epsilon| \ll (e^2/v_F)E_F$

$$\frac{\delta\nu(\epsilon)}{\nu_0} = \frac{|\epsilon|}{8E_F}. \quad (33)$$

The equations (31) and (33) are our main results. The correction is smaller than ν_0 consistently with the perturbative assumption. At small $|\epsilon|$ our result coincide with that of Ref. 5 up to a factor 1/2 (see the statement immediately after Eq. (24)). The linear segment crosses over to the logarithmic one at the energy scale $|\epsilon| = (e^2/v_F)E_F$. This last statement is in good agreement with numerical results of Ref. [6].

We are grateful to E. G. Mishchenko and M. Reizer for their criticism which helped us to find a mistake in our previous version.

* Electronic address: kogan@quantum.ph.biu.ac.il

† Electronic address: baruchro@hotmail.com

- [1] B. L. Altshuler and A. G. Aronov, Sov. Phys. JETP **50**, 968 (1979).
- [2] B. L. Altshuler, A. G. Aronov, and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 1288 (1980).
- [3] A. M. Rudin, I. L. Aleiner, and L. I. Glazman, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 9322 (1997).
- [4] D. V. Khveshchenko and M. Reizer, Phys. Rev. B **57**, R4245 (1998).
- [5] E. G. Mishchenko and A. V. Andreev, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 235310 (2002).
- [6] J. Rollbuhler and H. Grabert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 166402 (2003).